

**University of Western Ontario  
Department of Geography and Environment  
PhD Second Reader Report**

**Student:**

**Dissertation Title:**

**Supervisor:**

I have evaluated the above thesis for form and content, in terms of its suitability for submission for examination in the Faculty of Graduate Studies. The result of my evaluation is as follows:

	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Form		
Content		

If the form or content is deemed unacceptable, then provide a brief summary of the reasons below. In addition, specific advice should be provided to the student and supervisor on concerns and possible remediation.

Second Reader: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Notes:**

1. The second reader is normally a member of the candidate's advisory committee, and is not normally eligible to serve on the examination committee. (In exceptional circumstances, participation in *ad hoc* review may be appropriate.)
2. The second reader should normally be provided with a two week period for review unless arranged otherwise.
3. The second reader's opinion is *advisory and internal to the department of Geography*. The student and supervisor may take the advice as they choose, and submit against the advice of the second reader.
4. The second reader's review is required only once.